



Attornev's Docket No	7604	_ PATENT
Allumey's Duckel No		_

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: DAIGRE, RICHARD

Serial No.: 010 / 058,183

Group No.:

3683

Filed:

JANUARY 26, 2002

Examiner:

KING, B.T.

For:

MECHANICALLY APPLIED/HYDRAULICALLY RELEASED BRAKE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2.	App	olicant is			
	XXX	a small entity. A verified statement:			
		is attached.			
		□ was already filed.			
	XXX	other than a small entity.			

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

XXX deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: <u>JULY 19</u>, 2004

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Signature

WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 1 of 4)

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 CFR 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

	(
	ions for an extension of time 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number	under 37 CFR 1.136 er of months checked below:
Extension (months) one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity \$ 110.00 \$ 380.00 \$ 900.00 \$1,400.00	Fee for small entity \$ 55.00 \$190.00 \$450.00 \$700.00
If an additional extension	Fee \$on of time is required, please	consider this a petition therefor.
(check	and complete the next item	, if applicable)
fee paid therefo		has already been secured and the from the total fee due for the total
	Extension fee due with the	nis request \$
	OR	

(b) XXX Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this condi-

inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

tional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.′	4.' The fee for claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:										
	(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		-	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	
TOTAL	<u>.</u>	34	MINUS	 34	= ₀ x 9	.00 =	6 0.00	X 18	3.00 =	\$	
INDEP	•	9	MINUS	 9	= 0 x 40	. 00 =	\$ 00.00	X 80	•00 ^{:=}	\$	
☐ FIR	ST PR	ESENTATION	OF MUL	TIPLE DEP. CLAI	M 135	-00 =			-00 =	\$ -	
					ADD	TOTAL IIT. FEE	\$00.00	OR)	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$		
 If the entry in Col. 1 is less than entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3. If the "Highest No. Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20". If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3". The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed. WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 CFR § 1.116(a) (emphasis added). 											
(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)											
(c)	(c) XXX No additional fee for claims is required.										
					OR						
(d)	(d) Total additional fee for claims required \$										
FEE PAYMENT											
5.		Charge A of \$	ccount 1	ck in the sum No s transmittal is	th	e sum	•				

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. XXX If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 12-1347...........

AND/OR

XXX If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. __12-1347

Reg. No.: 29,557

Tel. No.: (216) 621-7337

FACSIMILE (216) 621-7393

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY

(type or print name of attorney)

32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD., SUITE 100

P.O. Address

PEPPER PIKE, OH 44124

Applicant: Daigre, R. Examiner: King, B.T.

Serial No: 10/058,183 Art Unit: 3683 File Date: January 26, 2002 Our File: 7604

Invention: MECHANICALLY APPLIED/HYDRAULICALLY RELEASED BRAKE

July 13, 2004

LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE 32600 Fairmount Boulevard Atrium Suite 100 Pepper Pike, Ohio 44124 (216) 621-7337 Phone (216) 621-7393 FAX

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Mail Stop Amendment
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Dear Commissioner:

The USPTO issued on office action dated June 21, 2004 in respect to the above entitled application. Applicant responds as follows:

In the claims:

Claim 1 (second amendment). An actuating mechanism for a mechanical brake, the brake having a rotatable shaft rotatively supported to a frame by an intermediate member, the rotary shaft having a rotational axis, the brake comprising a friction surface, said friction surface being connected to the shaft,